ADDENDUM TO RFP

RFP Number: 19-022/YS
RFP Title: Emergency Disaster Assistance Recovery for Jefferson County
RFP Due: 11:00 am CT, Tuesday, June 18, 2019
Addendum No.: 2 (two)
Issued (Date): Tuesday, June 11, 2019

TO OFFEROR: This Addendum is an integral part of the RFP package under consideration by you as an Offeror in connection with the subject matter herein identified. Jefferson County deems all sealed proposals to have been proffered in recognition and consideration of the entire RFP package – including all addenda. For purposes of clarification, receipt of this present Addendum by an Offeror should be evidenced by returning it (signed) as part of the Offeror’s sealed proposal. If the Proposal has already been received by the Jefferson County Purchasing Department, Offeror should return this addendum in a separate sealed envelope, clearly marked with the RFP Title, RFP Number, and Opening Date and Time, as stated above.

Reasons for Issuance of this addendum:
Answers to proposer questions;
Correction to page 30 reference to Appendix K. Appendix B will be a substitute;
Addition of Appendix B – Sample Menu.

The information included herein is hereby incorporated into the documents of this present Bid matter and supersedes any conflicting documents or portion thereof previously issued.

Receipt of this Addendum is hereby acknowledged by the undersigned Offeror:

ATTEST:

Authorized Signature (Offeror)
Title of Person Signing Above
Typed Name of Business or Individual

Address
Proposer Questions and Answers

1. Please explain specifically how the pricing of one proposal will be evaluated against the pricing from another proposal, including the answer to these questions:
   a. Will each pricing proposal be analyzed and refined to become one total number which can then be compared to the other proposals?
      The cost of professional services is 20% of the proposal score and will be analyzed on an overall basis.
   b. Will extended totals (unit price multiplied by estimated quantity) be used to evaluate pricing, and if so, what estimated quantities and what line items will be used to derive the extended totals that will be evaluated?
      No. The unit price will be used to evaluate.
   c. Will all pricing line items be evaluated equally or will some line items receive more importance in the evaluation?
      Equally.

2. Will the payment for Hazardous Trees and Limbs be for the cut only and placement of debris safely onto the ROW for collection (line items L.1, L.2, L.11-L.15)?
   Yes.

3. The scope of work dictates that the debris resulting from the removal of hazardous trees and hanging limbs will have a unit rate per tree which includes hauling the resulting debris to DMS or final disposal site. This would require collection trucks to follow the tree removal crews and ONLY pick up debris from their work, thereby skipping piles of debris and leaving it on the street to be picked up by another collection truck. If there is a major event, collection trucks will be more efficiently used if they could travel the ROW and pick up ALL debris rather than skipping piles and only following the tree crew. This also means that all resulting debris will have to be staged separately at the DMS to insure no comingling of debris is allowed. There will be a need for additional equipment to manage the site segregated for hazardous tree work or there will be lost time in moving equipment between the two areas. Limited Debris Management Sites, their size and locations often make this scenario extremely difficult. The typical method is to price hazardous trees and hanging limbs as a “cut only” rate and to place the resulting debris in the ROW for collection as vegetative debris. This method frees up collection trucks and expedites the collection process. Will the County consider changing the scope to allow for the debris from removing hazardous leaning trees and hanging limbs to be placed in the ROW to be collected and paid for as regular vegetative debris?
   No.

4. How will the County evaluate the submittals in terms of price:
   a. Will each section (A through U) be weighted the same?
      Yes.
   b. Will each line item be added up for a grand total or does the County intend to use formula based on estimated quantities of certain line items? Will the County share the formula?
      No.
   c. Will all sections (A through U) be used in the price evaluation? If not, which section(s) will not be counted in the evaluation?
      Yes.
5. P. 30 refers to an Appendix K which has the guidelines to a sample menu but I do not see an appendix K in the RFP.
   a. Where can we obtain Appendix K?
      Please see Appendix B (attached). There is no Appendix K.
   b. Additionally, does this mean we need Appendices B-K?
      No, there are only Appendix A and Appendix B.
Appendix B: Canteen Services
Emergency Menu Minimum Standards to be utilized as a guideline for menu to be submitted for proposed Canteen Services:

Breakfast

Fixed Items: Fruit, Eggs, Milk, Juice, Bottled Water, and Coffee
Items to rotate: Bacon/Sausage/Ham
    Pancake/French Toast/Tortillas
    Biscuits/Grits/Oats/Hashbrowns

Lunch

Fixed Items: Bottled Water, Sodas, Snack Items
Items to Rotate – Sides: Vegetables/French Fries/Chips/Mashed Potatoes
Items to Rotate – Main Course: Chicken Fried Steak/Hamburgers/Pot Roast/Beef Tips and Rice/Chopped BBQ Sandwiches/Cold Cut PoBoys

Dinner

Fixed Items: Bottled Water, Sodas, Tea, Salad, and Dessert
Items to Rotate – Sides: Hushpuppies/Slaw/Boiled Red Potatoes/Pinto Beans/Rice/Vegetables/Potato Salad/Mashed Potatoes
Items to Rotate – Main Course: Fried Catfish/Ribeyes/Chicken Fried Steak/BBQ Brisket & Smoked Sausage/Spaghetti & Meatballs/Fried Shrimp/Grilled Chicken Breast

Shift (Boxed Lunch)

Bottled Water/Sodas/Tea/Coffee
Deli Sandwich with Bag of Chips, Piece of Fruit, and Cookie or Similar Dessert