

JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF
FEDERAL AWARDS
AND
REPORTS OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS
PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS
AND THE SINGLE AUDIT ACT
AMENDMENTS OF 1996
AND OMB CIRCULAR A-133

FOR THE YEAR ENDED
SEPTEMBER 30, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by <i>Governmental Auditing Standards</i>	1
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance With OMB Circular A-133	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	5
Notes on Accounting Policies for Federal Awards	8
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, For the Year Ended September 30, 2006	9
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings, For the Year Ended September 30, 2006	10
Corrective Action Plan, For the Year Ended September 30, 2006	11

Edwards, Tate & Fontenote, LLP

Certified Public Accountants

March 19, 2007

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS REQUIRED BY GOVERNMENTAL AUDITING STANDARDS

To County Judge Ron Walker and
Members of the Commissioners Court
Jefferson County, Texas

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Jefferson County, Texas ("the County") as of and for the year ended September 30, 2006, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 19, 2007. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Governmental Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operations that we consider to be material weaknesses.

To County Judge Ron Walker and
Members of the Commissioners Court

Page 2

March 19, 2007

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Commissioners Court of Jefferson County, Texas, management, others within the County, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Edwards, Tate & Fontenot, LLP

Edwards, Tate & Fontenote, LLP

Certified Public Accountants

March 19, 2007

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To County Judge Ron Walker and
Members of the Commissioners Court
Jefferson County, Texas

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Jefferson County, Texas ("the County") with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2006. The County's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the County's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments and Nonprofit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures, as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the County's compliance with those requirements.

To County Judge Ron Walker and
Members of the Commissioners Court
Page 2
March 19, 2007

In our opinion, the County complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2006.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants caused by error or fraud that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in their normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County as of and for the year ended September 30, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated March 19, 2007. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Commissioners Court of Jefferson County, Texas, management, others within the County, federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Edwards, Tate & Fontenot, LLP

JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS
 SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL AWARDS
 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED
 SEPTEMBER 30, 2006

Federal Grantor/Pass Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantors Number	Disbursements/ Expenditures
<u>U.S. Department of Transportation</u>			
<u>Passed Through the Texas Department of Highways and Public Transportation:</u>			
State and Community Highway Safety-- Community / Impaired Driver Mobilization Program	16.592	585XXF5032	5,739
Ben Rogers Southeast Texas Regional Visitors Information Center	20.205	CSJ:0920-38-157	89,707
<u>Passed Through the Federal Aviation Administration:</u>			
Airport Improvement Program - Airport Master Plan Update Terminal Renovations, Airport Drainage Environmental Study, Perimeter Security Upgrades, and Rehabilitate Runway Lighting, Phase III	20.106	3-48-0018-19-2004	425,947
Airport Improvement Program - Perimeter Fence and Road Construction, Airfield Drainage Correction, and Rehabilitate Apron F	20.106	3-48-0018-020-2005	1,903,090
Airport Improvement Program - Improve Passenger Terminal Building (Hurricane Rita Damage)	20.106	3-48-0018-022-2006	2,017,048
<u>Direct Program:</u>			
Small Community Air Service Development Pilot Program	None	SCASDPP 11590-165	41,100
Total Department of Transportation			<u>4,482,631</u>
<u>U.S. Department Of HUD</u>			
<u>Passed Through the Office of Rural Community Affairs:</u>			
Community Development Block Grants/State's Program--Hamshire Water and Sewer	14.228	TDOC - 724006	204,878
ORCA Texas Public Shelter Program	14.228	TDCP - 725127	26,610
Total Department of HUD			<u>231,488</u>
<u>U.S. Department of Justice</u>			
<u>Direct Program:</u>			
Local Law Enforcement Block Grants Program--(LLEBG Grant)	16.592	2005-DJB-X-1109	26,646
Local Law Enforcement Block Grants Program--(LLEBG Grant)	16.592	2004-LB-BX-1244	37
Local Law Enforcement Block Grants Program--(LLEBG Grant)	16.592	2003-LB-BX-1905	432
State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (SCAAP)	16.606	2004-APBX-O494	600
COPS Technology Grant	16.710	2004-CK-WX-O359	98,549

JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED
SEPTEMBER 30, 2006

Federal Grantor/Pass Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantors Number	Disbursements/ Expenditures
<u>U.S. Department of Justice</u>			
<u>Passed Through The Office of the Governor, Criminal Justice Division:</u>			
Violence Against Women Formula Grants--Family Violence/Crimes Against Women	16.588	WF06V3013466-09	8,951
Violence Against Women Formula Grants--Family Violence/Crimes Against Women	16.588	WF05V3013466-08	111,001
Crime Victim Assistance--Domestic Violence Clearinghouse	16.575	VA05V3013679-07	150,098
Crime Victim Assistance--Crime Victims Clearinhouse	16.575	VA06V3013679-08	50,223
Forensic Science Training Grant	16.650	CD05A1018129-01	28,948
Juvenile Accountability Grant	16.523	JB04J2017327-02	33,250
Total U.S. Department of Justice			<u>508,735</u>
<u>Department of Homeland Security/Federal Emergency Management Agency:</u>			
<u>Passed Through Texas Department of Public Safety Division of Emergency Management:</u>			
Community Emergency Response Grant	97.004	N/A	2,590
2005 Homeland Security	97.073	2005-GE-T5-4025	73,787
FEMA Emergency Hurricane Katrina	97.036	FEMA-3216-DR- Hurricane Katrina	24,422
FEMA Emergency Hurricane Rita	97.036	FEMA-1606-DR- Hurricane Rita	34,279,525
Disaster Relief Grant	97.039	DEM-TDRF-05-09	472,927
<u>Passed Through Texas Engineering Extension Service/State Homeland Security Program:</u>			
2004 State Homeland Security LETPP	97.004	2004-LETPP-48245	20,096
Emergency Management Performance Grant	97.042	EMT-2004-GR-0105	5,088
Emergency Management Performance Grant	97.042	05TX-EMPG-069	37,978
Emergency Management Performance Grant	97.042	06TX-EMPG-064	6,883
Total Department of Homeland Security/ Federal Emergency Management Agency			<u>34,923,296</u>

JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS
 SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL AWARDS
 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED
 SEPTEMBER 30, 2006

Federal Grantor/Pass Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantors Number	Disbursements/ Expenditures
<u>U.S. Department of Health & Human Services</u>			
<u>Passed Through Texas Department of Protective & Regulatory Services:</u>			
Children's Justice Act -- Family Group Conferencing	93.643	23362284	28,987
Children's Justice Act -- Adoption Day 2005	93.643	AD-05-003	3,024
Jefferson County Diabetes Prevention program	93.988	65560DIABE0408	42,859
Jefferson County Diabetes Prevention program	93.988	DIAB/CDSP	41,562
Foster Care--Title IV-E--Reimbursement Program	93.658	TJPC-E-2006-123	219,919
Foster Care--Title IV-E--Reimbursement Program	93.658	TJPC-E-2007-123	5,470
Total U.S. Department of Health & Human Services			<u>341,821</u>
<u>U.S. Election Commission</u>			
<u>Passed Through Texas Secretary of State:</u>			
General HAVA Compliance/Voting System Replacement	90.401	N/A	1,962,449
Total U.S. Election Commission			<u>1,962,449</u>
Total Federal Assistance			<u>42,450,420</u>

JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS
NOTES ON ACCOUNTING POLICIES FOR FEDERAL AWARDS
SEPTEMBER 30, 2006

1. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activity of all applicable Federal and programs of Jefferson County, Texas ("the County"). The County's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the County's basic financial statements. Federal awards received directly from Federal agencies, as well as awards passed through other government agencies, are included on the schedule.
2. The accounting and financial reporting treatment applied to a fund is determined by its measurement focus. The Governmental Fund types and Private Purpose Trust Fund types are accounted for using a current financial resources measurement focus. All federal grant funds were accounted for using a current financial resources measurement focus. All Federal grant funds were accounted for in the Special Revenue Fund, a component of the Governmental Fund type. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. Operating statements of these funds present increases (i.e., revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (i.e., expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

The modified accrual basis of accounting is used for the Governmental Funds, the Private Purpose Trust Funds, and Agency Funds. This basis of accounting recognizes revenue in the accounting period in which they become susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available, and expenditures in the accounting period in which the fund liability is incurred, if measurable, except for unmatured interest on General Long-Term Debt, which is recognized when due, and certain compensated absences and claims and judgments, which are recognized when the obligations are expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources.

Federal grant funds are considered to be earned to the extent of expenditures made under the provisions of the grant, and, accordingly, when such funds are received, they are recorded as deferred revenues until earned.

3. The period of availability for Federal grant funds for the purpose of liquidation of outstanding obligations made on or before the ending date of the Federal project period, extended 30 days beyond the Federal project period ending date, in accordance with provisions in Section H Period of Availability of Federal Funds, Part 3, OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement updated as of March 2006.

**JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED
SEPTEMBER 30, 2006**

I. Summary of Auditor's Results

A. Financial Statements

1. Type of Auditor's Report issued: **Unqualified**
2. Internal control over financial reporting:
- a. Material weakness(es) identified? Yes No
- b. Reportable condition(s) identified that are not to be considered material weaknesses? Yes No
3. Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? Yes No

B. Federal Awards

1. Internal control over major programs:
- a. Material weakness(es) identified? Yes No
- b. Reportable condition(s) identified that are not to be considered material weaknesses? Yes No
2. Type of Auditor's Report issued on compliance for major programs: **Unqualified**
3. Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of Circular A-133? Yes No
4. The major programs of the County include:

<u>CFDA Numbers</u>	<u>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</u>
97.036	FEMA - Hurricanes Rita and Katrina
90.401	General HAVA Compliance/Voting System Replacement

5. The dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B Programs was: **\$1,273,786**
6. The County is classified as a low-risk auditee in the context of OMB Circular A-133? Yes No

II. Findings Relating to the Financial Statements Which Are Required to be in Reported in Accordance with *Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards*: **There are no findings to be reported.**

III. Findings and Questioned Costs for Federal Awards: **There are no findings and questioned**

**JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS
SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED
SEPTEMBER 30, 2006**

There were no prior year audit findings.

**JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS
CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN
FOR THE YEAR ENDED
SEPTEMBER 30, 2006**

There was no corrective action plan needed.